

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,943	06/21/2007	Jurgen Otto	026032-5068	2662
22428 7590 04/15/2009 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			ALEX, JAMES S	
3000 K STREI WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/590,943	OTTO, JURGEN			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAMES ALEX	3636			

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL	OWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Arapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavt, application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance wift or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed wiperiods:	or other evidence, which places the th 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of	late of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	IRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originates for thir (b) above; if checked, Any reply received by the Office leter han three months after the mailing date on may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	the fee. The appropriate extension fee Ily set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	ad within two months of the data of
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be file filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CF AMENDMENTS 	void dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, w (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially redu appeal; and/or	cing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally reject	ted claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliance. 	oliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, tim non-allowable claim(s)	•
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	e entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
S. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Noti- because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit owas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the dentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal is showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See	and/or appellant fails to provide a 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entr REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in c	ondition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:	
/Peter R. Brown/	
Primary Examiner, Art Uni	t 3636

Continuation of 3. NOTE: There are new limitations regarding the rocking lever (wherein the rocking lever is moved from its stable position to its stable release position when the ammest is at an angular position that is between the first angular region and the second angular region) which were not previously considered.